Document 133

declarations before the grand jury).

In opposition, defendants argue that the unsealing of financial affidavits implicates Fifth Amendment concerns where the information provided by a defendant is likely to be used to prove the charges against him. *See e.g., U.S. v. Hickey*, 185 F.3d 1064 (9th Cir. 1999). Here, the court finds that there is no implication of defendants' Fifth Amendment rights because the information sought by the government does not relate to the substance of the pending charges. Rather, the information is sought for the purpose of determining whether the defendants committed the unrelated and separate crimes of perjury and making false statements to the court. Therefore, the relevance of the financial affidavits is to the investigation of new potential criminal charges.

The court has reviewed the documents and pleadings on file in this matter and finds that the government has shown good cause for the unsealing and release of the aforementioned financial affidavits regardless of the ultimate success of its pending investigation. Accordingly, the court shall grant the government's motion.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the United States' motion to unseal and release defendants' financial affidavits (Doc. #128) is GRANTED. .

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the clerk of court shall UNSEAL and RELEASE to the government Darin Jerome French and Jennifer Lynn French's financial affidavits filed in case no. 3:08-cr-0006-LRH-RAM, and Darin Jerome French's financial affidavit filed in case no. 3:08-cr-

20 0066-LRH-RAM.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 20th day of July, 2010.

LARRY R. HICKS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Fldih